



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,125	02/07/2001	Masumi Sakai	0800239.0129	7697

22434 7590 04/18/2005

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP  
P.O. BOX 70250  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4A

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/779,125	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SAKAI, MASUMI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Arnel C. Lavarias	<b>Art Unit</b> 2872	


--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 03 February 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☒ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

  
**THONG NGUYEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2800**

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): Applicant is requested to review 1286 OG 21, effective 9/7/04, which deal with recent changes to the rules of practice before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/ogsheet.html>).

1: Under 1286 OG 21, the sections titled 'Issues' and 'Grouping of Claims' have been consolidated into a single heading titled 'Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal'.

2: Under 1286 OG 21, those claims under appeal must be identified also.

3: 'Section IV- Status of Amendments' is reserved only for a statement of the status of any amendments filed subsequent to a final rejection. The Examiner believes that no such amendments were made subsequent to the Final Office Action dated 8/13/04.

4: Various elements of the independent claim on appeal have not been identified either by reference to the specification or the drawings (e.g. monitoring means). Also, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph, has not been identified (e.g. monitoring means.)

5: No concise statement, including statutory basis, for each grounds of rejection being reviewed on appeal.

6: A separate heading is required for each ground of rejection being reviewed on appeal, and each claim being argued separately should be placed under a subheading.